

Published on Web 11/30/2009

Isolated Monomeric and Dimeric Mixed Diorganocuprates Based on the Size-Controllable Bulky "Rind" Ligands

Mikinao Ito,[†] Daisuke Hashizume,[‡] Takeo Fukunaga,[‡] Tsukasa Matsuo,^{*,†} and Kohei Tamao^{*,†}

Functional Elemento-Organic Chemistry Unit and Advanced Technology Support Division, RIKEN Advanced Science Institute, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

Received August 26, 2009; E-mail: matsuo@riken.jp; tamao@riken.jp

Organocopper compounds have been among the most widely used and powerful tools in synthetic organic chemistry;¹ these include organocopper(I) oligomers $(CuR)_n^2$ and a large variety of organocuprates such as diorganocuprates MCuR23 and heteroorganocuprates MCuRX.⁴ The structural elucidation of the actual species of organocopper reagents has met with difficulties because of their continuous aggregation-dissociation processes in solution.⁵ For example, mixed diorganocuprates MCuRR', which were introduced mainly on the basis of the so-called "dummy ligand" concept⁶ and for selective ligand cross-coupling on copper,⁷ generally come to equilibrium with two homoleptic species MCuR₂ and MCuR'2 in solution, which sometimes causes undesirable side reactions. Thermally stable mixed diorganocuprates are quite rare.3b,e,4 In this paper, we present the selective synthesis and isolation of monomeric and dimeric mixed diorganocuprates by introduction of the size-controllable "Rind" ligands based on a rigid fused-ring s-hydrindacenyl skeleton (Schemes 1 and 2), which we have recently developed⁸ by the modified Kennedy's method.⁹ The new bulky Rind groups have several advantages such as ease of preparation, high chemical stability, and size-controllability of steric bulkiness by the proximate substituents.

RindLi (Rind = EMind and Eind), which had been prepared from RindBr, was allowed to react with CuBr to afford neutral tetranuclear Cu(I) complexes 1 with a 2:1 Cu/Rind ratio (Scheme 1). Although we examined this reaction with various RindLi/CuBr molar ratios, no other organocopper species except 1 were observed because of the steric hindrance of the Rind ligands. Complexes 1 were isolated as air- and moisture-sensitive colorless crystals, and the molecular structure of 1b was determined by X-ray analysis (Figure 1a). The four copper atoms form a planar and nearly rectangular structure alternately bridged by a bromine atom and a Cinso atom of the Eind ligand. The side Cu-Cu distances, 2.4274(10) and 2.7080(10) Å, are indicative of d¹⁰ metal-metal interactions.¹⁰ The perpendicularly bridged Eind ligands are linked to the two Cu atoms in a symmetrical three-center-two-electron (3c-2e) bonding mode² with C_{inso}-Cu distances of 2.000(4) and 1.981(4) Å. While compounds 1 are among the well-characterized RCu/CuX aggregated species,¹¹ 1 can also be regarded as the net dimer of two organohalocuprate [RindCuBr]- units sharing two Cu(I) ions.

In fact, the bromine atoms in **1** can be replaced by organic groups to form a net dimer of a mixed diorganocuprate sharing two Cu(I) ions, as shown in Scheme 1. Thus, the reaction of **1b** with lithium trimethylsilylacetylide gave **2** as pale-yellow crystals in 54% yield. According to the X-ray diffraction studies (Figure 1b), the long Cu–Cu distances in the planar Cu₄ core are further extended to 2.9041(5) Å by the ligand substitution, keeping the bridged Rind/ Cu₂ core intact. Each alkynyl group is linked to the two Cu atoms

Scheme 1



through a short σ bond [Cu1–C29 = 1.878(2) Å] and a longer π coordination [Cu2–C29 = 2.013(2) and Cu2–C30 = 2.144(2) Å], thus adopting the rare case of the μ_2 - η^1 , η^2 bridging mode.¹² The C=C bond [1.236(3) Å] is slightly elongated in comparison to a normal triple bond (1.20 Å); accordingly, the IR spectrum of **2** exhibits a ν (C=C) stretching band at 1955 cm⁻¹, which is lower than that of Me₃SiC=CH (2036 cm⁻¹).



Figure 1. Molecular structures of (a) **1b** and (b) **2**. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

The copper clusters **1b** and **2** represent new members of the luminescent d¹⁰ clusters.¹⁰ Thus, complex **1b** shows a weak green emission in the solid state with an emission maximum (λ_{em}) at 513 nm and a quantum yield (Φ) of 0.03, which might be attributed to an admixture of halogen to metal charge-transfer (XMCT) bromide \rightarrow Cu₄ and metal-centered (MC) 3d \rightarrow 4s/p transitions.¹⁰ No detectable emission was observed in hexane or benzene, suggesting a weakened Cu–Cu interaction in solution. In contrast, complex **2** exhibits a strong orange emission in both the solid state ($\lambda_{em} = 613$ nm, $\Phi = 0.28$) and solution ($\lambda_{em} = 605$ nm, $\Phi = 0.50$ in hexane). The tetranuclear structure of **2** found in the crystal appears to be maintained even in solution because of the unique coordination of the alkynyl ligands, resulting in the efficient luminescence of the π (alkynyl) \rightarrow 4s/p(Cu) transition with LMCT character.^{10b,13}

Treatment of the much bulkier RindLi (Rind = MPhind and M^{s} Fluind) with CuBr exclusively produced monomeric organobromocuprates **3** with a 1:1 Cu/Rind ratio (Scheme 2). Figure

[†] Functional Elemento-Organic Chemistry Unit.

^{*} Advanced Technology Support Division.

Scheme 2



2a shows the monomer structure of 3a, where the lithium atom closely interacts with the bromine atom and three THF molecules. The copper atom has a linear two-coordinate geometry with a C-Cu-Br angle of 177.34(11)°. The relatively short C_{ipso} -Cu length [1.916(4) Å] lies in the range of those for 2c-2e σ -bonded arylcopper species.^{2,3}



Figure 2. Molecular structures of (a) 3a and (b) 4. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

The subsequent reaction of **3a** with LiC≡CSiMe₃ produced the lithium aryl(alkynyl)cuprate 4 as colorless crystals containing two molecules of THF in 47% yield. Figure 2b shows its discrete monomeric nature, with the formation of a contact ion pair in which the lithium atom is bonded to the two carbon atoms of the acetylene unit. The geometry around the copper atom is slightly bent, having a C-Cu-C angle of 165.40(11)°, probably as a result of steric repulsion between the phenyl groups on the MPhind and the coordinated THF molecules. The Cu $-C(sp^2)$ distance [1.942(2) Å] is longer than that of Cu-C(sp) [1.871(3) Å]. The C=C distance is 1.222(4) Å, and the ν (C=C) stretching vibration is observed at 1945 cm^{-1} , which is comparable to that of **2**. The mixed diorganocuprate 4 was found to undergo the oxidative ligand-coupling reaction⁷ by the action of chloranil^{7d} to selectively afford the bulky alkyne 5. This provides direct experimental evidence for oxidative cross-coupling via the mixed diorganocuptrate arising from two different organolithium or magnesium reagents.

We have shown that the size-controllable Rind ligands can be used to generate isolable mixed diorganocuprates. In particular, while the chemical formula of MCuRR' is generally accepted in organocopper chemistry, complex 4, which was realized by the significant bulkiness of the MPhind group, represents to the best of our knowledge the first isolable monomeric mixed diorganocuprate.

Acknowledgment. We thank the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan for the Grant-in-Aid for Specially Promoted Research (19002008).

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details and crystallographic data (CIF). This material is available free of charge via Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- Recent reviews: (a) Krause, N. In Modern Organocopper Chemistry; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2002. (b) Pérez, P. J.; Díaz-Requejo, M. M. In Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry III; Crabtree, R. H., Mingos, D. M. P., Eds.; Elsevier: Oxford, U.K., 2007; Vol.2, Chapter 3, p 153. (c) Lipshutz, B. H.; Yamamoto, Y. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 2793.
- (2) Structurally characterized arylcopper compounds: (a) Lingnau, R.; Strähle, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1988, 27, 436. (b) Nobel, D.; van Koten, G.; Spek, A. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 208. (c) Eriksson, H.; Örtendahl, M.; Håkansson, M. Organometallics 1996, 15, 4823. (d) Eriksson, H.; Håkansson, M. Organometallics 1997, 16, 4243. (e) Niemeyer, M. Organometallics 1998, 17, 4649.
- (3) Structurally characterized diarylcuprates: (a) van Koten, G.; Jastrzebski, J. T. B. H.; Muller, F.; Stam, C. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 697. (b) Hope, H.; Olmstead, M. M.; Power, P. P.; Sandell, J.; Xu, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 4337. (c) Lorenzen, N. P.; Weiss, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1990, 29, 300. (d) Olmstead, M. M.; Power, P. P. J. Am. Chem. *Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 8008. (e) Kronenburg, C. M. P.; Jastrzebski, J. T. B. H.; Lutz, M.; Spek, A. L.; van Koten, G. *Organometallics* **2003**, *22*, 2312. (f) Davies, R. P.; Hornauer, S.; White, A. J. P. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 304.
- Structurally characterized heteroleptic organocuprates are known as follows: Cyanocuprates: (a) Hwang, C.-S.; Power, P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 6409. (b) Boche, G.; Bosold, F.; Marsch, M.; Harms, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 1684. (c) Kronenburg, C. M. P.; Jastrzebski, J. T. B. H.; Spek, A. L.; van Koten, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1998**, 120, 9688. (d) Eaborn, C.; Hill, M. S.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Smith, J. D. Organometallics **2000**, 19, 5780. (e) Hwang, C.-S.; Power, P. P. Organometallics **1999**, 18, 697. Amidocuprates: (f) Davies, R. P.; Hornauer, S.; Hitchcock, P. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Êd. 2007, 46, 5191. (g) Haywood, J.; Morey, J. V.; Wheatley, A. E. H.; Liu, C.-Y.; Yasuike, S.; Kurita, J.; Uchiyama, M.; Raithby, P. R. Organometallics 2009, 28, 38.
- (5)Notable studies of solution structures: Gschwind, R. M. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108. 3029.
- (6) (a) Corey, E. J.; Floyd, D.; Lipshutz, B. H. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 3418.
 (b) Malmberg, H.; Nilsson, M.; Ullenius, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 3823. (c) Lipshutz, B. H.; Kozlowski, J. A.; Parker, D. A.; Nguyen, S. L.; McCarthy, K. E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 285, 437. (d) Bertz, S. H.; Eriksson, M.; Miao, G.; Snyder, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, *118*, 10906. (e) Lutz, C.; Jones, P.; Knochel, P. Synthesis **1999**, 312. (f) Piazza, C.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2002**, 41, 3263. (g) Yamanaka, M.;
- (7) (a) van Koten, G.; ten Hoedt, R. W. M.; Noltes, J. G. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 2705. (b) ten Hoedt, R. W. M.; Noltes, J. G. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 2705. (b) ten Hoedt, R. W. M.; Noltes, J. G.; van Koten, G.; Spek, A. L. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1978, 1800. (c) Lipshutz, B. H.; Siegmann, K.; Garcia, E.; Kayser, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 9276. (d) Dubbaka, S. R.; Kienle, M.; Mayr, H.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 9093
- (8) The Eind group has been applied to the construction of highly coplanar π-conjugated frameworks comprising Si=Si and Si=P double bonds: (a) Fukazawa, A.; Li, Y.; Yamaguchi, S.; Tsuji, H.; Tamao, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 14164. (b) Li, B.; Matsuo, T.; Hashizume, D.; Fueno, H.; Tanaka, K.; Tamao, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 13222.
- (9) Chang, V. S. C.; Kennedy, J. P. Polym. Bull. 1981, 4, 513.
 (10) Reviews: (a) Ford, P. C.; Cariati, E.; Bourassa, J. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 3625. (b) Yam, V. W.-W.; Lo, K. K.-W. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1999, 28, 323. Emissive arylcopper(I): (c) Yam, V. W.-W.; Lee, W.-K.; Cheung, K. K.;
- (11) (a) Wehman, E.; van Koten, G.; Erkamp, C. J. M.; Knotter, D. M.; Jastrzebski, J. T. B. H.; Stam, C. H. Organometallics **1989**, *8*, 94. (b) Janssen, M. D.; Corsten, M. A.; Spek, A. L.; Grove, D. M.; van Koten, G. Operagenetallics **106**, 15, 2810
- Organometallics **1996**, *15*, 2810. (12) Chui, S. S. Y.; Ng, M. F. Y.; Che, C.-M. Chem.—Eur. J. **2005**, *11*, 1739. (13) (a) Lo, W.-Y.; Lam, C.-H.; Yam, V. W.-W.; Zhu, N.; Cheung, K.-K.;
- Fathallah, S.; Messaoudi, S.; Guennic, B. L.; Kahlal, S.; Halet, J.-F. J. Am. *Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 7300. (b) Chan, C.-L.; Cheung, K.-L.; Lam, W. H.; Cheng, E. C.-C.; Zhu, N.; Choi, S. W.-K.; Yam, V. W.-W. *Chem.*–*Asian.* J. 2006, 1-2, 273.

JA9071964